

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.02.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T. RAVINDRAN

S.A.Nos. 1643, 1644 & 1645 of 2008

and

M.P.Nos.1, 1 and 1 of 2008

S.A. No.1643 of 2008

1. C. Mani

2. C. Natesan

3. G. Manoharan

4. Moorthy

.... Appellants

Vs.

1. A. Sakthivel

2. Lakshmi Ammal

... Respondents

S.A. No.1644 of 2008

Natesan

S/o. Chinnasamy Pandithar

...Appellant

Vs.

Sakthivel

S/o. Annamalai Pandithar

... Respondent

S.A. No.1645 of 2008

Manogaran

...Appellant

vs.

Sakthivel

... Respondent

Prayer in S.A. No.1643/2008: Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of the Civil Procedure Code challenging the judgment and decree passed by the Sub Court, Cheyyar, made in A.S. No.21/2007, dated 29.09.2008, reversing the judgment and decree passed by the Additional District Munsif Court, Vandavasi, made in O.S. No.14/1998 dated 29.06.2007.

Prayer in S.A. No.1644/2008: Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of the Civil Procedure Code challenging the judgment and decree passed by the Sub Court, Cheyyar, made in A.S. No.6/2008, dated 29.09.2008, confirming the judgment and decree passed by the Additional District Munsif Court, Vandavasi, made in O.S. No.20/1998 dated 29.06.2007.

Prayer in S.A. No.1645/2008: Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of the Civil Procedure Code challenging the judgment and decree passed by the Sub Court, Cheyyar, made in A.S. No.7/2008, dated 29.09.2008, confirming the judgment and decree passed by the Additional District Munsif Court, Vandavasi, made in O.S. No.19/1998 dated 29.06.2007.

For Appellants : No appearance

For Respondents : No appearance

COMMON JUDGMENT

Memo has already been filed by the appellants' counsel informing that the appellants had taken the entire bundle in the second appeals along with the consent for the change of vakalat and by way of the same, he having reported no instructions for the appellants and the appellants on being called and remaining absent, the Registry was directed to list the matter today under the caption "for dismissal" by printing the name of the appellants in the cause list.

2. Today, when the matter is called, there is no representation for the appellants. Appellants called, absent. No representation for the respondents also.

3. In the light of the abovesaid factors, all the second appeals are dismissed for non prosecution. No cost. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

-s/d-

Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

bga

To


1. The Subordinate Judge, Cheyyar
2. The Additional District Munsif Court, Vandavasi.

Copy to:

The Section Officer, VR Section, High Court, Madras

S.A.Nos. 1643, 1644 & 1645 of 2008

mg(co)
aa12/03/2021



WEB COPY