



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19.07.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

S.A. No.905 of 2002

N.Nataraj

... Appellant

Versus

1.C.G.Ramamurthy

2.C.G.Krishnaprakash

... Respondents

Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of the Civil Procedure code, against the decree and judgment passed in A.S. No.22/99 on the file of the Sub-Judge, Udumalpet dated 10.11.2000 as confirmed in O.S. No.375/91 on the file of the District Munsif, Udumalpet, dated 08.12.1998.

For Appellant : No appearance

For Respondents : R1 & R2 - Not known

JUDGMENT

(Heard video conference)

This Court by its order dated 20.09.2010 recorded the submissions of the learned counsel for the appellant that he is reporting "no instructions" in this matter and thereafter directed the Registry to issue notice to the appellant and post the matter after service of notice. Notice to the appellant has been duly served. However till date, no alternative arrangement has been made by the appellant to engage a new counsel or to appear by himself. It can now be inferred that the appellant is not interested to prosecute the Second Appeal. Accordingly, the Second Appeal is dismissed for non prosecution. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS III)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar



vsi2

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Sub-Judge,
Udumalpet.
- 2.The District Munsif,
Udumalpet.

S.A. No.905 of 2002

UM (CO)
PR (10/08/2021)