

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 27.01.2021

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. BHARATHIDASAN

Crl.O.P.No.971 of 2021

Sudha

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Rep. by  
The Inspector of Police,  
F-4 Kavarapettai Police Station  
Thiruvallur District.  
(Crime No.1585 of 2020)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 438 of Criminal Procedure Code to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No. 1585 of 2020 pending investigation on the file of the Respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.E.Rajesh

For Respondent : Mr.S.Karthikeyan  
Addl. Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 379 and 430 of I.P.C., in Crime No. 1585 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, and now, he has filed this petition seeking to grant anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on the date of occurrence, when the petitioner along with other accused persons riding their respective motorbikes, said to have carried sandbags weighing about 50 kg. in the back side of each vehicle and when they seen the respondent police at Anaikattu, they had left their vehicle and ran away from the scene. Subsequently, the respondent police seized the vehicle and registered a criminal case against the petitioner. Now, apprehending arrest, the present petition has been filed seeking for anticipatory bail.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he is no way connected with the offence. He would submit that he has been falsely implicated as accused in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner and other accused persons have carried sandbags weighing about 50 kg. in their respective motorbikes in the back side of their vehicle and on seeing police, they have left the vehicle and ran away from the scene. He has further submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have heard and considered the rival submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for petitioner as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor and perused the records.

6. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances, there is no bad antecedents against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

a) Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Gummidipoondi, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for the period of two weeks ;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

7. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-

27/01/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned  
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
GUMMIDIPOONDI

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE  
THIRUVALLUR (FOR INFORMATION)

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
F-4 KAVARAPETTAI POLICE STATION,  
TIRUVALLUR .

CC to M/S E.RAJESH Advocate on payment of necessary chargesn  
Sr.921

CRL OP.971/2021

सत्यमेव जयते Date :27/01/2021

RVR 04/02/2021

WEB COPY