



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 03.08.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE PUSHPA SATHYANARAYANA
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.A.NO.603 OF 2021
AND
C.M.P.NO.2591 OF 2021

1. The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.
2. The District Educational Officer,
Chennai East,
Chennai - 600 094.
3. The District Educational Officer,
Chennai West, DPI Campus,
College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.

... Appellants/Respondents

.Vs.

St. Gabriel's Higher Secondary School,
No.28, Broadway, Chennai - 600 108,
Rep. by its Correspondent,
Fr.Rajan, S/o.Pushpam.

... Respondent/Petitioner

PRAYER:-

Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent against the order dated 11.02.2020 in W.P.No.10006 of 2019.

Prayer in W.P.No.10006 of 2019:-

To issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the Respondents to approve the Appointment of Thiru M.Dhakshanamoorthy in the regular Sanctioned Post of Office Assistant in the Petitioner School from the date of appointment on 02.6.2006 and to make the payment of salary with interest and other service Benefits.



4. The learned counsel for the respondent/School invited this Court's attention to the recent judgment made in W.A.No.1022 of 2020 dated 07.01.2021 (Director of School Education and Others V. S.Murugan and Another). The above said Writ Appeal is also on the same subject arising in an identical situation, where the appellant was appointed prior to 2018 and when proposal was sent for approval, there was no response and the writ petition was filed and disposed of by the learned Single Judge, against which, the writ appeal was filed. In the said appeal also, the two grounds raised by the Government, namely, (i) that no permission was sought for by the School before the appointment is made of any non-teaching staff ; and (2) that in view of G.O.Ms.No.238, the surplus staff should have been engaged, were negatived. As stated earlier, the appointment, thus, was made prior to 2018, i.e., on 02.06.2006. The Government Order passed only in the year 2018 has no retrospective effect.

5. So far as the permission to be obtained before making the appointment is concerned also, the First Division Bench in S.Murugan's case (cited supra) has held as follows :

"6. What is of importance is whether an aided School is required to obtain prior permission from any authority to undertake the process of appointment upon a vacancy arising in a sanctioned non-teaching post. The appellants have not been able to indicate any Rule or Notification or the like requiring prior permission to be sought before undertaking the exercise to look for a replacement upon a sanctioned post falling vacant in the non-teaching category.

7. It is possible that there may be surplus staff in other Government-aided Schools in the District or nearby areas. It is equally possible that the Government may require the surplus staff to be deployed at other aided Schools upon vacancies in similar post arising thereat. However, there has to be a mechanism which has to be put in place for such purpose and the process has to be certain. It would not do for the Department to refuse an appointment merely because at the time of appointment, the Department finds surplus staff of similar description in other aided Schools in the District or the locality. The position as to surplus staff ought to exist at the time when the vacancy arose or, at any rate, prior to the



8. In such a scenario, the Department may do well to either bring in Rules that would require aided Schools to obtain permission from the relevant District Educational Officer before undertaking an appointment procedure and the District Educational Officer being required to respond to the request within a fixed time, so that the relevant School can fill up the vacancy without undue delay. In the alternative, the relevant District Educational Officer may circulate the description and number of the surplus staff at various levels to all Schools for such Schools to be able to fill up any vacancy that arises from the surplus staff at the relevant post. In the absence of either, an aided School cannot be faulted for undertaking the exercise of appointing a person to a sanctioned post or seeking the appointment. The permission that is sought is not permission to fill the post as such, but permission to enable the District Educational Officer to scrutinise whether the appointment procedure was alright and whether the incumbent fits the bill.

9. In the present case, the order impugned cannot be faulted, since there was no mechanism of either kind as referred to above. It is irrelevant that the vacancy arose in 2014 and the attempt to fill the vacancy was undertaken in 2018. Since there was no Rule to seek prior permission from the District Educational Officer before the appointment procedure was undertaken, the School cannot be blamed. The appointment cannot be denied merely because there was surplus staff which the School was not made aware of before the School undertook the appointment procedure."

6. Both the grounds raised by the appellant are already decided in the above case, which are similar to the facts of the instant case in hand. In view of the above, in the absence of any specific rule or regulation mandating that prior permission is required for appointing a non-teaching staff in a sanctioned post, the appointment made by the respondent school cannot be



faulted with and the learned Single Judge, therefore, rightly directed the authorities to approve the same within a period prescribed. We see no reason to interfere with the same.

7. For the foregoing reasons, the Writ Appeal is dismissed and the order of the learned Single Judge is confirmed. The appellants are directed to comply with the directions issued by the learned Single Judge within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. However, there will be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VIII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

gg

To

1. The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.
2. The District Educational Officer,
Chennai East,
Chennai - 600 094.
3. The District Educational Officer,
Chennai West, DPI Campus,
College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.

+1cc to Mr.G.Sankaran, Advocate, S.R.No.38129
+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.38067

W.A.NO.603 OF 2021

VSN-II(CO)
PBS/20/09/2021