



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 08.12.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH

CRL.OP.No.19724 of 2015

AND

W.P.No.3822 of 2015 and M.P.No.1 of 2015

V.Subramani

..Petitioner in both cases

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police
Krishnagiri District
Krishnagiri

2.The Inspector of Police
Kandhikuppam Police Station
Kandhikuppam
Krishnagiri District
Krishnagiri

..Respondents in Crl.O.P.19724 of 2015

1.The District Collector
Krishnagiri District
Krishnagiri

2.The Superintendent of Police
Krishnagiri District
Krishnagiri

3.The Tahsildar
Krishnagiri Taluk
Krishnagiri

4.The Inspector of Police
Kandhikuppam Police Station
Krishnagiri District

5.The President
Balaenayanapalli Village Panchayat
Bargur Panchayat Union
Krishnagiri Taluk and District

6.Perumal

7.Krishnamoorthy

8.Krishnamoorthy



9.Kumar
10.Perumal
11.Rajamanickam

..Respondents in W.P.No.3822 of 2015

WEB COPY

Prayer in CrI.O.P.No.19724 of 2015

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., praying to direct the respondent to register the FIR based on the petitioner's complaint dated 19.05.2015.

Prayer in W.P.No.3822 of 2015

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for a writ of mandamus directing the respondents 1 to 4 to take necessary action based on the representation of the petitioner dated 15.12.2014 against the private respondents 6 to 11 and consequently direct the 1st respondent to pay a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- as compensation for the mental agony caused to the petitioner forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Prabakaran
in both cases

For Respondent/s : Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, APP
in both cases

C O M M O N O R D E R

It is seen that the petitioner had addressed a representation to the District Collector, Krishnagiri District on 15.12.2014 and has thereafter, filed the instant writ petition and a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to register an FIR based on his complaint dated 19.05.2015.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor and perused the materials placed on record.

3. In the opinion of this Court, the petitioner has got remedies under the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, if a complaint is not registered by the police.

In view of the above, both these petitions are closed with liberty to the petitioner to work out his remedies in the manner known to law. Connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CCC)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar



To

1. The District Collector
Krishnagiri District
Krishnagiri

2. The Superintendent of Police
Krishnagiri District
Krishnagiri

3. The Tahsildar
Krishnagiri Taluk
Krishnagiri

4. The Inspector of Police
Kandhikuppam Police Station
Krishnagiri District

5. The President
Balaenayanapalli Village Panchayat
Bargur Panchayat Union
Krishnagiri Taluk and District

6. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+2ccs to Mr.C.Prabakaran, Advocate SR. Nos.65195, 65196

CRL.OP.No.19724 of 2015
and W.P.No.3822 of 2015

KG (CO)
PR (16/12/2021)