



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.06.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

S.A.No.1442 of 1999

Vittal Rao

...Appellant

vs.

Palanisamy

...Respondent

Prayer: Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of C.P.C., against the Judgment and Decree dt.6.4.98 made in A.S.No.266/97 on the file of the Court of the Principal District Judge, Erode, confirming the Judgment and Decree dt.1.7.97 made in O.S.No.405/96 on the file of the Court of the Subordinate Judge, Bhavani.

For Appellant	:	Mr.T.M.Naveen
For Respondent	:	Not ready in notice

JUDGMENT

Mr.T.M.Naveen, learned counsel representing counsel on record for the Appellant would submit that despite their best efforts to contact their client, they have been unable to do so. He would also submit that the correct address of the respondent has also not been furnished to the counsel on record for the Appellant by the Appellant till date. The Second Appeal is of the year 1999. No further indulgence can be shown to the Appellant.

2.After recording the submissions made by the learned counsel representing counsel on record for the Appellant, this Court is of the considered view that the Appellant is not interested in prosecuting this Second Appeal.

3.Accordingly, the Second Appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

s/d-
Assistant Registrar(CS)

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

pam



To

1. The Principal District Judge,
Erode.

2. The Subordinate Judge,
Bhavani.

Copy to:

The Section Officer,
V.R.Section,
High Court, Madras-104.

S.A.No.1442 of 1999

UM(CO)
SU(29/07/2021)