



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION)

WEDNESDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF AUGUST 2021

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.SUBRAMANIAN

C.S. No.863 of 1999

In the matter of the Indian
Copyright Act 1957

and

In the matter of the Limited
Copyright in the picture
"Vanmathi" and three other
pictures.

Raj Television Network Ltd
rep by Director M.Raveendran
Registered Office at No. 12-B,
Poes Road, 3rd Street,
Teynampet, Chennai – 600 018.

... Plaintiff

-Versus-

1.Mr. D.Pandian, Proprietor
Messrs Sivasakthi Movie Makers
9/1 Wheat Crofts Road,
Nungambakkam, Chennai 34.

2.Mr. G.Sathya
49, Vaidyanatha Mudali Street
Chennai 1.

3. Messrs Sun TV
a Unit of Sumangali Publications Pvt. Ltd.,
rep. by its Managing Director
268/269 Anna Salai
Teynampet, Chennai 18.

... Defendants

**C.S.No. 893 of 1999**

WEB COPY

Civil Suit praying that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to pass a judgment and Decree:

(a) For a declaration that the plaintiff is the absolute owner of the limited copyright viz., the world satellite TV rights amongst other rights in the pictures described in the schedule hereunder;

(b) For a permanent injunction restraining the defendants, their men, agents, servants or any other person acting on their behalf from telecasting the pictures or interfering with the plaintiff's limited copyright in the pictures described in the schedule hereunder and

(c) For costs of the suit.

This civil suit coming on this day before this court for hearing in the presence of Ms.Monica Chopra for Mr.C.Ramesh, advocates for the plaintiff herein and of Mr.J.R.K.Bhavanantham advocate for the first Defendant herein and Mr.Y.Anil Kumar advocate for the 3rd Defendant herein and upon reading the plaint filed herein and the Learned Counsel for plaintiff having submitted that the suit has been settled between the parties and sought permission to withdraw the suit and this Court doth recording the statement of the counsel and **it is ordered as follow:-**



WEB COPY

2) That the Suit in C.S.No. 863 of 1999, be and is hereby dismissed as withdrawn.

3) That there shall be no costs of this civil suit.

WITNESS, THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANJIB BANERJEE,
CHIEF JUSTICE, HIGH COURT AT MADRAS AFORESAID, THIS THE
25TH DAY OF AUGUST 2021

Sd/-
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (Comm.Cases)

//Certified to be true copy//
Dated at Madras this the day of 2022.

COURT OFFICER(O.S.)
From 25th Day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing certified copies
of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.



NS

22.10.2021

WEB COPY

4

C.S.No. 863 of 1999

DECREE
DATED 25/08/2021

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE
R.SUBRAMANIAN

FOR APPROVAL: 29/12/2021

APPROVED ON: 10/01/2022



WEB COPY

5

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.08.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUBRAMANIAN

C.S.No.863 of 1999

Raj Television Network Ltd
rep by Director M.Raveendran

...Plaintiff

.Vs.

1.D.Pandian, Proprietor
Messrs Sivasakthi Movie Makers
9/1 Wheat Crofts Road
Nungambakkam, Chennai 34.

2.G.Sathya
49, Vaidyanatha Mudali Street
Chennai 1.

3.Messrs Sun TV
a Unit of Sumangali Publications Pvt. Ltd.,
rep. by its Managing Director
268/269 Anna Salai
Teynampet, Chennai 18.

... Defendants

Plaint filed under Section 55 and 62 of the Indian Copyright Act read with Order IV Rule 1 of the Original Side Rules and Order VII Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure praying :

(a) For a declaration that the plaintiff is the absolute owner of the limited copyright viz., the world satellite TV rights amongst other rights in the pictures described in the schedule hereunder;

(b) For a permanent injunction restraining the defendants, their men, agents, servants or any other person acting on their behalf from telecasting the pictures or interfering with the plaintiff's limited copyright in



the pictures described in the schedule hereunder and

(c) For costs of the suit.

For Plaintiff : Ms.Monica Chopra
for Mr.C.Ramesh

For Defendants : Mr.J.R.K.Bhavanantham for D1
Mr.Y.Anil Kumar for D3

J U D G M E N T

Ms.Monica Chopra, learned counsel appearing for the plaintiff would submit that the suit has been settled between the parties and she seeks permission to withdraw the suit.

2. Recording the said statement of the counsel, the suit is dismissed as withdrawn. No costs.

Sd/- R.S.M.J.
25/08/2021

List of the witnesses examined on the side of the plaintiff: Nil

List of Exhibits marked on the side of the plaintiff : Nil

List of the witnesses examined on the side of the defendants: Nil

List of Exhibits marked on the side of the defendants : Nil

Sd/- R.S.M.J.
25/08/2021

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the day of 2022.

COURT OFFICER(O.S.)