



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twenty Ninth day of April Two Thousand Twenty One

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.100 of 2021

1 SHIYAMALA
2 DHILIP KUMAR
3 SUBRAMANIYAN

[PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
D.C.B. ARIYALUR
(CRIME NO.11 OF 2020)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.T.SHANMUGAM Advocate

For Respondent : MR.T.SHUNMUGARAJESWARAN Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 417, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 506(1) of IPC, in Crime No.11 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners had collected huge amount from several persons for the purpose of getting job at Canada Thereafter, the petitioners neither secured job nor returned the money, which led to the filing of the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submit that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the petitioners had collected huge amounts from several persons for the purpose of getting job at Canada. Thereafter, the petitioners neither secured job nor returned the money. It is further submitted that investigation is still pending. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



5. Considering the fact that large amounts of money is involved and that the petitioners have cheated under the guise of job racket and also taking into consideration the fact that investigation is still going on, enlarging the petitioners on anticipatory bail at this point of time, when the offence is serious in nature and there is likelihood of the petitioners indulging in activities which would be prejudicial for investigation, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
29/04/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
D.C.B. ARIYALUR

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.T.SHANMUGAM Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.100/2021

Date :29/04/2021

MK:30/06/2021