



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Civil Appellate Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Third day of August Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

CMP.No.392 of 2012

IN SA.No.98 of 2005

RAMADOSS

[PETITIONER]

Vs

SAMBANDAM

[RESPONDENT]

Petition praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the affidavit filed therewith the High Court will be pleased to condone the delay of 142 days in filing the restoration application in S.R.No.39005 of 2012 (in CMP.NO.392/2012) in SA.No. 98 of 2005

Order : This petition coming on this day for hearing upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S.V.S.RAMESHKUMAR, Advocate for the petitioner the court made the following order:-

The matter is listed under the caption "for withdrawal" today.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner/Appellant is unable to get instructions as to whether the respondent has taken possession of the property during the pendency of this civil miscellaneous petition.

3. However, learned counsel for the respondent reiterates that possession has already been taken by the respondent during the pendency of this civil miscellaneous petition.

4. Since the learned counsel for the petitioner/Appellant is unable to get instruction from his client, this Court is constrained to believe the submissions made by the learned counsel for the respondent. Accordingly, this Civil Miscellaneous Petition is disposed of as the same is now become infructuous.

-sd/-

23/08/2021

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF
CUM-JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, NANNILAM

2 THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE
TIRUVARUR

WEB COPY

Order

in
CMP.No.392/2012

in
SA.98/2005

Date :23/08/2021

From 26.2.2001 the Registry is issuing certified
copies of the Interim Orders in this format
DV 31/08/2021