

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1492 OF 2020

Vaishali Wamanrao Joshi,
Aged about 69 years, Occu. - Retired,
R/o Flat No.12, "A" Wing,
Ruturaj Apartment, Paud Road,
Kothrud, Pune.

.... **PETITIONER**

// VERSUS //

- 1) State of Maharashtra,
through its Secretary,
Rural Development and Water
Conservation Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
- 2) State of Maharashtra,
through its Secretary,
School Education and Sports
Department, Mantralaya,
Mumbai-32.
- 3) Divisional Commissioner,
Nagpur Division, Nagpur,
Tq. and Dist. Nagpur.
- 4) Zilla Parishad, Washim
through its Chief Executive Officer,
Washim, Tq. and Dist. Washim.
- 5) Education Officer (Primary),
Zilla Parishad, Washim,
Tq. and Dist. Washim.

.... **RESPONDENTS**

Shri A. R. Deshpande, Advocate for the petitioner.
Smt. K. S. Joshi, In-charge G.P for respondent Nos.1 to 3.
Shri Amol Deshpande, Advocate for respondent Nos.4 and 5.

**CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE AND
ANIL S. KILOR, JJ.**

DATED : 26.07.2021

ORAL JUDGMENT : (Per Sunil B. Shukre, J.)

1. Heard. **Rule.** Rule made returnable forthwith. Heard finally by consent of the learned counsel appearing for the parties.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in this petition is squarely covered by the view taken by this Court in the bunch of writ petitions starting with ***Writ Petition No.5419 of 2018, Sanjay Ramkrushan Waghmare and Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.***, decided on **14.02.2019**. Smt. Joshi, learned G.P for respondent Nos.1 to 3 agrees.
3. We, therefore, find no difficulty to allow this petition on similar lines. The petition is allowed accordingly.
4. The respondent/Zilla Parishad after satisfying themselves about the petitioners being District Awardee Teachers having been awarded certificates prior to 04.09.2018 shall individually consider the case of the petitioners for additional increment as is laid down under

the Government Resolution dated 12.12.2000. The same shall be considered on merits of each individual case by considering the judgments rendered in all the applicable cases as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of six months.

5. Rule is made absolute in the above terms with no order as to costs.

(ANIL S. KILOR, J.)

(SUNIL B. SHUKRE J.)

Kirtak