

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

PURIS STAMP NO.02/2021
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO.612/2018

Smt Reema w/o Kamlesh Nagpal and another
Vs.

Shraddha Industries Private Limited, and others.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders

Shri M.M. Agnihotri with Shri S.S. Sarda, Advocates for
appellants.
Shri Y.N. Sambre, Advocate h/f Shri A.A. Naik, Advocate
for respondents.

CORAM : S.M. MODAK, J.
DATE : OCTOBER 27, 2021.

Heard both the sides. In direction no.(iii) of
paragraph no.3, the direction typed is as follows:

“(iii) This order of payment is based on the
assumption that the amount sent is already
withdrawn.”

Permission is granted to appellant no.1 to
withdraw the amount. The amount could be withdrawn only
if, it is not already withdrawn. So in direction no.3 the word
“not” is not typed. Hence, hereinafter, direction no.3 be read
as follows:

“(iii) This order of payment is based on the
assumption that the amount sent is not
already withdrawn.”

The civil application is disposed of.

JUDGE