

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 235 OF 2018
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 841 OF 2018

(Sagar Manikchand Lanjewar vs. Dr. Manali Makrand Kshirsagar & Ors.)

AND

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 236 OF 2018
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 840 OF 2018

(Pravin Vishnu Domke vs. Dr. Manali Makrand Kshirsagar & Ors.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Shri Rohan Deo, Advocate for respondent Nos. 1 & 2.
Shri D.P. Thakare, Additional Government Pleader for
respondent No. 3.

.....

CORAM : A.S. CHANDURKAR &
PUSHPA V. GANEDIWALA, JJ.
JUNE 14, 2021.

None for the petitioners. Heard Shri
Rohan Deo, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 &
2 and Shri D.P. Thakare, learned Additional
Government Pleader for respondent No. 3.

2. The grievance of the petitioners is with
regard to non-compliance of the directions issued in
Writ Petition Nos. 840 and 841 of 2018 by this Court
on 05.06.2018. By the said order, the statement
made on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 herein that
there was workload available for engaging the
petitioners, was accepted. The Court further directed
respondent Nos. 1 & 2, not to engage anybody else to

shoulder the workload of the petitioners. This judgment was challenged by respondent Nos. 1 & 2 before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and by an interim order dated 10.09.2018, further proceedings in these Contempt Petitions were stayed. Thereafter, on 02.12.2019, both the Special Leave Petitions were disposed of by modifying the directions issued by this Court on 05.06.2018. The Hon'ble Supreme Court directed respondent Nos. 1 & 2 to keep two posts vacant till the Appeals were decided by the University and College Tribunal but permitted respondent Nos. 1 & 2 to make such other arrangement to carry out the teaching activities in the College.

3. It is informed by the learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 that thereafter the Appeals were decided by the University and College Tribunal, Nagpur.

4. In view of the aforesaid and in the light of the fact that the directions issued by this Court in the aforesaid writ petitions were modified by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the Appeals having now been decided, there is no reason to keep the present proceedings pending. Both the Contempt Petitions are disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

*GS.