

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, AT NAGPUR.**

Criminal Appeal No. 640 of 2006

(Raju S/o Ramdas Paytode Vs. Smt. Reena W/o Raju Paytode and anr.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. Raju Kadu, Advocate for the respondents

CORAM : ROHIT B. DEO, J.

DATED : 25-08-2021

The appeal is under Section 19(4) of the Family Courts Act, 1984 and is directed against the judgment of the learned Judge, Family Court, Nagpur granting maintenance to the wife and son.

2. The learned counsel for the appellant is not appearing in the matter. None appeared on 18-8-2021 and none appears today on behalf of the appellant.

3. Even otherwise, note of the Registry is that the appeal is not maintainable in view of the Division Bench decision in Family Court Appeal 143/2015.

4. The appeal is dismissed with costs of ₹ 10,000/-.

5. If any application for restoration is moved, the Registry shall ensure that the direction to pay costs is complied with.

JUDGE