

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO.666 OF 2021

Narendra s/o Wasudeo Pundkar

..vs..

State of Maharashtra, thr.P.S.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri A.K. Madane, Advocate for applicant.
Shri I.J. Damle, A.P.P. for non-applicant/State.

CORAM : VINAY JOSHI, J.
DATED : 28/10/2021

Heard.

2. The informant – Avinash Rokde alleges that co-accused Chandrakala Khardekar alias Puri has assured him to give job and for that purpose took total amount of Rs.16 lakhs. It is alleges that applicant Narendra Pundkar also assisted the informant and participated in the crime, hence the report.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant would submit that the material allegations are against the co-accused Chandrakala Khardekar alias Puri with whom the applicant has no concern. He would submit that the applicant has not received any amount nor anything was transferred in his bank account. Moreover, he would submit that the report was filed under misconception.

4. Today, informant – Avinash Rokde has

appeared in the Court personally and filed sworn notarized affidavit, contending that he has no grievance against the applicant. He stated that due to misconception, he has filed the report against the applicant. He made categorical statement that he has not paid any amount to the applicant.

5. Be that as it may, *prima facie* it appears that the main allegations are against the co-accused Chandrakala Khardekar alias Puri. In view of that, applicants' liberty can be protected by imposing certain conditions, hence the following order :

- (a) The Criminal application stands allowed.
- (b) Ad-interim order dated 05.10.2021 is hereby made absolute upon same terms and conditions.
- (c) Henceforth the applicant shall attend concerned Police Station, till filing of the charge-sheet.

JUDGE

Trupti