

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Civil Application (CAO) No.586/2021
AND
Civil Application (CAO) No.587/2021
IN
Election Petition No.20/2019

Ashish s/o Pundalik Dharmale Vs. The Election Commission of India & Ors.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
 Coram, appearances, Court's orders
 or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders

Shri C.V. Mahurkar, Advocate for the Petitioner.
 Ms. Neerja Choubey, Advocate for Respondent No.1.
 Ms. Ketki Joshi, GP for Respondent Nos.3 & 4.
 Shri Sachin Sambre, Advocate for Respondent No.5.

CORAM : S.M. MODAK, J.
DATE : 12th OCTOBER, 2021.

These are the applications for deleting respondent Nos.3 and 4 from the array of the respondents. Learned Advocate for the petitioner has no objection for grant of those prayers. The respondent No.5/returned candidate wants to file reply to these applications.

I have heard learned Advocate Shri Sambre for respondent No.5. According to him, the foundation of the election petition is the report submitted by the Election Officer. That is why, the presence of these respondents is required. Whereas, learned Government Pleader apprised me about the legal provisions and observations made to that effect.

In view of the submission, respondent No.5 is permitted to file reply to these applications. Once reply is filed, after hearing both the sides, appropriate decision will be taken.

Civil Application (CAO) Nos.585/2021 & 588/2021

Respondent Nos.3 and 4 want permission to file written statement after the decision is taken on their application for deletion.

Permission is granted.

The civil applications are disposed of.

Matter be kept on 24th November, 2021 for reply and hearing on Civil Application (CAO) Nos.586/2021 and 587/2021.

JUDGE

vijay