

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.184/2020 IN
WRIT PETITION NO.7459/2017(D)

V.S.P.M.Academy of Higher Education, Nagpur, Vivekanand Jr. College of Education
through its Principal and others.

Vs.

Smt. Vandana Krishnan, Principal Secretary, Social Education and Sports Deptt.
State of Maharashtra Mantralaya, Mumbai.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's Orders
or directions and Registrar's order

Court's or Judge's Order

Shri P N. Shende, Advocate for petitioners.

Shri D.P. Thakre,,Additional Government Pleader for respondent.

CORAM :- A.S.CHANDURKAR AND PUSHPA V. GANEDIWALA, JJ.
DATED :- JUNE 23, 2021.

The grievance of the petitioners is that the direction issued in Writ Petition No.7459/2017 directing the State Government to take a policy decision in the matter of providing grant-in-aid to schools and colleges which were earlier granted permission on no grant basis has not been complied with though such decision was directed to be taken within a period of six months from the date of the judgment.

Today, Shri D. P. Thakre, learned Additional Government Pleader has submitted a photocopy of the affidavit on behalf of the respondent no.1 in which it has been stated that a decision has been taken by the State Government according to which the word “permanent” in the expression “permanent no grant basis” cannot be excluded. Reasons for the same are also indicated therein.

In that view of the matter we find that the direction issued in the aforesaid writ petition stands complied with.

Shri D.P. Thakre, learned Additional Government Pleader to supply copy of the affidavit sworn by the respondent no.1 and photocopy of which is filed today in the Court to the learned counsel for the petitioners. Photocopy of the affidavit that has been taken on

record is permitted to be replaced by the original affidavit within a period of one week from today.

The contempt petition is accordingly disposed of.

Needless to state that if the petitioners are aggrieved by the said decision of the State Government, they are free to challenge the same in accordance with law.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Andurkar..