

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 224 OF 2019
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 3257 OF 2018(D)

Shrikrushna s/o Murlidhar Kothalkar
Vs.
Sanjay S/o Jairam Kapadnis, Municipal Commissioner, Akola

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's Orders
or directions and Registrar's order

Court's or Judge's Order

Shri S.C. Joshi, Advocate for petitioner
Shri Apurv De, Advocate for respondent

CORAM : A.S.CHANDURKAR & N.B.SURYAWANSHI, JJ.
DATED : JANUARY 11, 2021

1. The learned advocate for the respondent states that he has filed a pursis indicating that directions issued in Writ petition No.3257 of 2018 are complied with.
2. By the orders passed in the writ petition the respondent corporation was directed to take decision on the representation of the petitioner. The petitioner's representation is decided on 06/01/2021.
3. The learned advocate for the respondents tenders unconditional apology of the respondent for the delay caused in deciding the representation. He states that due to pandemic, the order could not be complied within stipulated time.
4. The learned Advocate for the petitioner confirms that the representation of the petitioner is decided.

5. In view of the compliance of orders the contempt petition is disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

J.Pethe..