

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR**

Writ Petition No.2730 of 2020

Chandrashekhar s/o R Mahajan and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Maharashtra,

through the Secretary, Higher and Technical Education, ...Respondents

Mantralaya Mumbai and others

Shri S.N. Nandeswar, Advocate and Shri Nihalsingh Rathod, Advocate
for the Petitioners

Shri D.P. Thakare, AGP for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 - State

Shri S.M. Puranik, Advocate for Respondent Nos.4 and 5

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR AND
ANIL S. KILOR, JJ.**

DATED : 4 MARCH 2021

P.C.:

Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

2. A limited request is made by the learned Counsel for the Petitioner that the decision, as to whether the Petitioners should be considered as validly admitted, is pending with the Respondent No.2 – Admission Regulating Authority and the Admission Regulating Authority should take a decision upon the same so that the Petitioners know their fate. This request is reasonable.

3. The learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 – Admission Regulating Authority states that necessary decision as per law would be taken and conveyed to the Petitioners and Respondent No.5 - College, where the Petitioners were taking education and also to the Respondent No.4 – RTM University, Nagpur.

4. Considering the fact that examination schedule for the next examination is likely to be announced shortly, the decision be taken and conveyed, as early as possible, before the next examination schedule is announced.

5. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of.

[ANIL S. KILOR, J.]

[NITIN JAMDAR, J.]