

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 161 OF 2020 IN**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 7454 OF 2017 (D)**

(Shri Tatya s/o Pundlik Kolhe, Nagpur Vs. Shri Kundan Kumar, Private Secretary, Union of India, New Delhi & Ors.)

---

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearances, Court's orders of directions  
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

---

Shri C.D. Wasade, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Shri U.M. Aurangabadkar, ASGI for the respondents.

.....

**CORAM : A.S. CHANDURKAR &  
PUSHPA V. GANEDIWALA, JJ.  
MARCH 02, 2021.**

Heard.

2. The grievance of the petitioner in the instant Contempt Petition is that the order passed by this Court on 26/08/2019 in Writ Petition No. 7454/2017 has not been complied with by the respondents, and thereby committed contempt of Court. For ready reference, para 6 of the said order is reproduced below :

*“6. In the result, this writ petition is allowed. The name of the son of the petitioner i.e. Anil s/o Tatya Kolhe be included in place of the petitioner who has attained the age of superannuation and could not get the employment. If the vacancies are available, the respondent Nos.*

*1 to 3 can only implement the list of eligible candidates without raising any objection.”*

3. Today, Shri Aurangabadkar, learned ASGI, filed the reply affidavit on behalf of the respondents stating therein that the name of the petitioner, if found eligible as per the procedure prescribed under the Maharashtra Government resolutions, can only be considered for the employment against the vacancies of the year 2019 and onwards.

4. In this view of the matter, we are satisfied that the aforesaid order has been duly complied with. Hence, nothing further remains to be adjudicated upon in the instant Contempt Petition. The same is disposed of accordingly.

**(JUDGE)**

**(JUDGE)**

Sumit