

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION (WP) NO. 2074/2020

Digambar S/o Datta Sontakke
Aged 40 years, occ. Service,
R/o. At. Post. Kajalamba,
Tah. & District Washim.

..... PETITIONER

// VERSUS //

1. The State of Maharashtra,
through its Deputy Secretary,
Department of Establishment
and Rural Development,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
2. Divisional Commissioner,
Amravati Division, Amravati,
Tah. & District Amravati.
3. Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Washim,
Tah. & Distt. Washim.

.... RESPONDENT(S)

Shri M.D. Lakhey, Advocate for the petitioner
Shri A.S. Fulzele, AGP for respondent nos. 1 and 2/ State
Shri Amol Deshpande, Advocate for respondent no. 3.

**CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE AND
AVINASH G. GHAROTE, JJ.**

DATED : 22/03/2021

ORAL JUDGMENT : (PER:- SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.)

Hearing was conducted through Video Conferencing and all the learned Advocates agreed that the audio and visual quality was proper.

2. Heard. **Rule.** Rule made returnable forthwith.

3. Heard finally by consent.

4. Respondent nos. 2 and 3 are directed to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 22.07.2020 and also representation dated 22.02.2021 in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of this order.

5. In case, the representation dated 22.02.2021 is decided by respondent no. 3, there would be no need on the part of respondent no. 2 to decide the representation dated 22.07.2020. Accordingly, the writ petition is **allowed**.

6. Rule is made absolute in the above terms. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE