

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION [ABA] NO. 313/2021.

Satishkumar Amarsingh

-VERSUS-

State of Maharashtra

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders

Shri J.M. Gandhi, Advocate for the Applicant.
Shri I.J. Damle, APP for the Non-applicant.

CORAM : VINAY JOSHI, J.

DATE : JULY 19, 2021.

Hearing was conducted through Video
Conferencing.

2. Matter is mentioned out of turn.

3. The learned Counsel for the applicant though undertaken, has not filed his Vakalatnama. He however, submits that the applicant has surrendered before the concerned police station and he has been remanded in police custody by the concerned Magistrate.

4. It appears from the record that some time was sought by the learned counsel for the

2

applicant for taking instructions for withdrawal and filing of Vakalatnama.

5. Any how, though Vakalatnama has not been filed, it appears that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter, perhaps the reason may be of his arrest. Accepting statement of the learned Counsel for the applicant that since the applicant is arrested, his application has become infructuous, the same is disposed of as such.

6. Since Vakalatnama has not been filed, in case the applicant is not arrested, than his right to approach this Court is kept open.

JUDGE

Rgd.