

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.1508/2021

1. Pravinkumar Baburao Tikkas,
Aged about 42 years,
Occupation Service,
Resident of plot no.34,
Ramkrishna Nagar, Umred Road,
Nagpur.
2. Sunita Vikas Sarode,
Aged about 36 years,
Occupation – Service, R/o. Plot No.41,
Utkarsha Housing Society, Near Purti
Super Bazar Besa Road, Nagpur.
3. Amar Krishanrao Sonurkar,
Aged about 38 years,
Occupation – Service,
Resident of Jayanti Nagari – 5,
C – Wing, Besa, Pipla, Nagpur.
4. Mamta Ramrao Rathod,
Aged about 40 years,
Occupation – Service,
R/o. At Post Mokh, Tah. Digras,
Dist. Yavatmal.
5. Pournima Gunvantrao Bhambarkar,
Aged about 36 years,
Occupation – Service,
R/o. C/o. Nishant Ghorpade, Chhatrapati
Nagar, Tah. and Dist. Chandrapur.
6. Dnyaneshwar Tukaram Kagne,
Aged about 40 years,
Occupation – Service,
R/o. C/o. M.s. Bagde, FDCM Colony,
Ambazari Hingna Road,
Nagpur – 440036.

7. Sunil Pandurang Atram,
Aged about 45 years,
Occupation – Service,
resident of Amchaleshwar,
Ward No.1, Near Shukla Building,
Chandrapur.
8. Kailash Rambhau Mundhe,
Aged about 38 years,
Occupation – Service,
R/o. Agarwadi, At Agarwadi,
Post Risod, Tah. Risaod, Dist. Washim.
9. Pankaj Arun Uikey,
Aged about 48 years,
Occupation – Service,
Resident of Pioneer Residence,
House No. R/10, Somalwada,
Wardha Road, Nagpur.
10. Ipatikar s/o Vithoba Kore,
Aged about 38 years,
Occupation – Service,
Resident of Ghodezari,
Post Kolari (Patachi),
Tahsil Lakhani,
District Bhandara.

..... PETITIONERS

// VERSUS //

1. State of Maharashtra through its
Principal Secretary, Department of
Revenue and Forest, Mantralaya,
Mumbai – 32.
2. Forest Development Corporation
of Maharashtra, through its
Managing Director, FDCM Bhavan,
359/D, Hingna Road,
Nagpur – 440036.

.... RESPONDENTS

Shri Anand Parchure, Advocate for petitioners.
Shri N. R. Patil, AGP for respondent no.1.
Shri M. M. Sudame, Advocate for respondent no.2.

**CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE AND
AVINASH G. GHAROTE, JJ.**

DATE : 30/03/2021

ORAL JUDGMENT : (PER:- SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.)

1] Heard Shri Parchure, learned counsel for the petitioners, Shri Sudame, learned counsel respondent no.2 appears by waiving notice and also Shri Patil, learned AGP for respondent no.1.

2] **Rule.** Rule made returnable forthwith. Heard finally by consent.

3] The petitioners are claiming seniority from the date of their entry into service. Some of the petitioners and also other similarly affected officers had made a representation to respondent no.2 which is not as yet decided.

4] Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if direction is given to respondent no.2 to decide the representations in accordance with law in a time bond manner.

5] In view of above, the petition is partly allowed. The respondent no.2 is directed to decide the representations of the officers pending with him, in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks

from the date of the order.

6] We also direct that while taking such a decision, the direction given by this Court in its order passed 24.02.2021 in Writ Petition No. 2753 of 2020 along with Writ Petition No.1568 of 2018 shall be borne in mind.

Rule accordingly. No costs.

(AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J)

(SUNIL B. SHUKRE J.)

Sarkate.