

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.141/2020

Tanaji Vitthalrao Bhosale .vs. State of Maharashtra through its Secretary,
Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai and anr.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr. Ashish Fule, Advocate appointed for petitioner.
Mrs. N. Tripathi with Mr. S.M. Ghodeswar, A.P.P. for
respondents.

CORAM : V.M. DESHPANDE AND AMIT B. BORKAR, JJ.
DATED : JUNE 16, 2021

Heard Mr. Fule, learned counsel for petitioner
appointed through High Court Legal Services Sub
Committee, Nagpur and Mrs.Tripathi with Mr.Ghodeswar,
learned A.P.P. for respondents-State. Also perused the
reply filed on behalf of the State.

By filing the present writ petition, the
petitioner is seeking remission in sentence for a period of
three months in view of the Government Resolution dated
03.06.2017. The State has filed reply. In the reply, it has
been specifically stated that when the petitioner was
released on parole on 19.03.2015, during parole, he has
committed an offence punishable under Sections 392,
397, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3/25 of the
Arms Act vide Crime No. 110/2015 within the jurisdiction
of Vazirabad Police Station, Nanded and an offence
punishable under Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code

and Sections 3/25 of the Arms Act vide Crime No.66/2015 within the jurisdiction of Parli (Rural) Police Station.

In view of this, we are of the view that the petitioner's case is not a fit case for remission. The writ petition is, therefore, rejected.

Mr. Fule, learned counsel appointed for the petitioner through High Court Legal Service Sub Committee, Nagpur is entitled to professional charges and we quantify it at Rs.1500/-

JUDGE

JUDGE

kahale