

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE NO. 1133 OF 2017

IN

EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 173 OF 2017

M/s. Indusind Bank Ltd. ... Applicant

vs.

Vinod Bhagan Arakh and Anr. ... Respondents

Ms. Kirti Pardeshi i/b. S.G.Legal & Associates for the Applicant/Claimant.

None for the Respondents.

CORAM : A. K. MENON, J.

DATED : 17th NOVEMBER, 2021

P.C. :

1. This is a notice under Order 21 Rule 22. Notice is seen to be served on respondent no.2. Respondent no. 2 is absent on call

2. However as far as respondent no. 1 is concerned learned counsel states that notice has been returned with remark "left". The applicant did not have the current address of respondent no.1. Accordingly I pass the following order :

(i) Notice is therefore made absolute against respondent no.2.

(ii) As far as respondent no. 1 is concerned liberty is granted to apply for fresh notice after ascertaining the current whereabouts of the judgment debtor.

RAJESHWARI
RAMESH
PILLAI

Digitally signed by
RAJESHWARI
RAMESH PILLAI
Date: 2021.11.18
17:49:50 +0530

- (iii) Notice is disposed in the above terms.
- (iv) Execution shall proceed against respondent no. 2. If no steps are taken against respondent no. 2 within a period of six months execution application shall be placed for disposal before the appropriate court.

(A. K. MENON, J.)