

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

Balaji G.
Panchal

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.1640 OF 2017

Digitally signed
by Balaji G.
Panchal
Date: 2021.01.20
15:05:39 +0530

Hathway Investments Private Limited

..Appellant

Versus

Additional Commissioner of Income-tax

..Respondent

Ms. Tasneem Zaveri i/by Kanga & Co., for the Appellant.

Mr. Sham Walve, for the Respondent.

CORAM : UJJAL BHUYAN &
MILIND N. JADHAV, JJ.
DATE : 19th JANUARY, 2021

P.C.

Heard Ms. Tasneem Zaveri, learned counsel for the appellant
and Mr. Sham Walve, learned standing counsel revenue for the respondent.

2. This appeal has been preferred by the assessee as the appellant
under section 260A of the Income Tax Act, 1961 against the order dated
01.02.2017 passed by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, "I" Bench,
Mumbai in ITA No.6531/Mum/2003 for the assessment year 1998-99.

3. The appeal is pending for admission.

4. The appeal is before us today on praecipe filed by learned
counsel for the appellant.

5. It is stated that Parliament has enacted the Direct Tax Vivad se
Vishwas Act, 2020 providing for a scheme for resolution of tax disputes. In
terms thereof, appellant has filed declaration under section 3 before the

designated authority. Designated authority issued certificate under section 5(1). For passing of the final order under section 5(2), appellant is required to withdraw the appeal under section 4(3) and to furnish proof of withdrawal along with intimation of payment, if any, to the designated authority. Hence, prayer for withdrawal of the appeal.

6. Learned counsel for the respondent has no objection to the prayer made.

7. Having regard to the above, we allow withdrawal of the appeal.

8. Appeal is accordingly disposed of as withdrawn.

9. Refund as per rules.

10. This order will be digitally signed by the Personal Assistant of this Court. All concerned will act on production by fax or email of a digitally signed copy of this order.

MILIND N. JADHAV, J

UJJAL BHUYAN, J