

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO. 2259 OF 2013

Federal Express (India) Private Ltd. .. Appellant
Versus
Commissioner of Income-Tax-X, Mumbai .. Respondent
.....
• Mr. Atul K. Jasani for the Appellant.
• Mr. Suresh Kumar for the Respondent.
.....

CORAM : UJJAL BHUYAN &
MILIND N. JADHAV, JJ.

DATE : FEBRUARY 03, 2021.

P.C.:

Heard Mr. Atul Jasani, learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Suresh Kumar, learned standing counsel, revenue for the respondent.

2. This appeal under section 260A of the Income Tax Act, 1961 has been preferred by the assessee as the appellant against the order dated 20.03.2013 passed by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, "F" Bench, Mumbai in ITA No. 1475/Mum/2012 for the assessment year 2008-09.

3. The appeal was admitted by this Court on 22.12.2015 on the substantial question of law framed in the said order.

4. It is submitted that Parliament has enacted the Direct Tax *Vivad se Vishwas Act, 2020* (briefly 'the Act' hereinafter) providing for a scheme for resolution of tax disputes. Appellant has filed a declaration under section 3 of the said Act before the Designated Authority which had thereafter issued a certificate under section 5(1) of the said Act determining the amount refundable to the appellant. However, for passing of the final order under section 5(2) of the said Act, appellant is required to withdraw the appeal in terms of section 4(3) thereof. Hence, the prayer for withdrawal of the appeal.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent has no objection to the prayer made for withdrawal of the appeal.

6. Considering the above, we allow the appellant to withdraw the appeal. Accordingly, the appeal is disposed of as withdrawn.

7. Refund as per Rules.

[MILIND N. JADHAV, J.]

[UJJAL BHUYAN, J.]

Ravindra
M.
Amberkar
Digitally
signed by
Ravindra M.
Amberkar
Date:
2021.02.04
18:08:53
+0530