

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.862 OF 2004

Orient Press Limited ..Appellant  
Versus  
Commissioner of Income Tax & Anr. ..Respondents

Mr. S. P. Kashid, Advocate for the Appellant.  
Mr. Suresh Kumar, Advocate for the Respondents.

CORAM : UJJAL BHUYAN &  
MILIND N. JADHAV, JJ.  
DATE : 8<sup>th</sup> MARCH, 2021

P.C.

Heard Mr. S. P. Kashid, learned counsel for the appellant and  
Mr. Suresh Kumar, learned standing counsel revenue for the respondents.

2. This appeal has been preferred by the assessee as the appellant  
under section 260A of the Income Tax Act, 1961 against the order dated  
24.02.2004 passed by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, "F" Bench,  
Mumbai in ITA No.5915/Mum/1996 for the assessment year 1993-94.

3. The appeal was admitted by this Court by order dated  
18.09.2007 on the substantial questions of law framed in the said order.

4. Today the appeal is before us on a praecipe filed by learned  
counsel for the appellant.

5. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that grievance of the

*(11) - ITXA-862-04.doc.*

appellant has been redressed by out of court settlement. Therefore, he would not like to press this appeal.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection to prayer made for withdrawal of the appeal.

7. In that view of the matter, we allow withdrawal of the appeal.

8. Appeal is accordingly disposed of as withdrawn.

9. Refund as per rules.

MILIND N. JADHAV, J

UJJAL BHUYAN, J