

Atul

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
IN ITS COMMERCIAL DIVISION
COMM ARBITRATION PETITION (L) NO. 12391 OF 2021
WITH
COMM ARBITRATION PETITION (L) NO. 12392 OF 2021**

Continental Radiance Offshore Pvt Ltd ...Petitioner
Versus
Vision Projects Technologies Pvt Ltd ...Respondent

Mr Ashwin Shanker, *with Kunal Naik & Ram Jay Narayan, for the
Petitioner.*
Mr Prathamesh Kamat, *i/b Priyanka Patel, for the Respondent.*

**CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 24th September 2021**

PC:-

1. Mentioned. Not on board. Taken on board.
2. The matter is before the Hon'ble Mr Justice BP Colabawalla. It is placed here because I am told a question has arisen that apparently requires a clarification of paragraph 4 of my order dated 16th June 2021 in two arbitration petitions. Paragraph 4 reads thus:
"4. Mr Kamat in addition makes a further statement that, in view of these instructions, his clients will not in any manner object to the Petitioners making the necessary

ATUL
GANESH
KULKARNI
Digitally
signed by
ATUL
GANESH
KULKARNI
Date:
2021.09.27
11:08:48
+0530

application and taking all necessary steps to move the vessel or to allow it to be sent out. If the Respondents or their existing agent's consent or co-operation is required, whether for repairs or for removal of the vessel, Mr Kamat assures the Court that this will be readily forthcoming from the Respondents. The statement is also noted and accepted."

3. It seems that the Respondent to the Arbitration Petitions represented by Mr Kamat has since moved for arrest of the vessel. Obviously, the statement that he made on 16th June 2021 was, first, confined to that matter and could not have permissibly extended to any other litigation. Second, that statement could not operate as a restraint against the Respondent from adopting legal proceedings as in accordance with law.

4. No further clarification is required.

5. All concerned will act on production of a digitally signed copy of this order.

(G. S. PATEL, J)