

Uday S. Jagtap

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1689 OF 2019

Laxmi Developers,
Through Partner – Nilesh Gavad .. Petitioner

Vs.

Chief Executive Officer and Ors. .. Respondents

.....
Mr. Anand Pai a/w Rutvij Solanki i/b DMS Legal for the petitioner
Mr. Amol B. Jagtap i/b Abhijeet A. Desai for S.R.A. - respondent
nos. 1 and 2

**CORAM : K.K. TATED &
PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.J.**

DATED : 12th AUGUST, 2021

P.C.

1. Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith.
2. Heard learned Counsel for the parties.
3. By this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner is seeking directions against respondent nos. 1 and 2 to decide their representations dated 1st April, 2019 and 11th April, 2019 as early as possible and on its own merits.
4. The learned Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent nos.

1 and 2 i.e. S.R.A. submits that he received instructions from his client that they will decide the petitioner's representations dated 1st April, 2019 and 11th April, 2019 on or before 31st December, 2021. Statement is accepted.

5. In view of the above, the following order is passed :-

ORDER

(i) Respondent nos. 1 and 2 are directed to decide the petitioner's representations dated 1st April, 2019 and 11th April, 2019 as early as possible on its own merits after hearing the parties but in any case, on or before 31st December, 2021.

(ii) The petitioner to co-operate with the respondent nos. 1 and 2 for deciding their representations and shall remain present as and when called for.

(iii) The respondent nos. 1 and 2 are directed to communicate their decision in writing to the petitioner.

(iv) The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(v) No order as to costs.

(PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.)

(K.K. TATED, J.)