Diksha Rane

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO. 18 OF 2003

M/s. Therelek Machines Pvt. Ltd. ..Appellant
vs.
The Income Tax Officer, Ward-3(6),
Mumbai. ..Respondent

Mr. Ashok Patil i/b. Ms. Jyoti Dialani for appellant.
Mr. Ashok Kotangale i/b. Mr. A.K. Saxena for respondent.

C0RAM : K. R. SHRIRAM
M. S. KARNIK, JJ.
DATE : SEPTEMBER 23, 2021

P.C. :

Mr. Patil states that for the subsequent years the agricultural income was exempted but for the assessment year in question, admittedly, appellant had not provided any evidence that the fruits, vegetables or coconuts were sold to anybody. If it is appellant’s case that there was no evidence to confirm that the income disclosed as a agricultural income was in fact agricultural income the question of this Court devoting any time does not arise.

2. Appeal dismissed.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.) **(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)**