

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

RAJESH
VASANT
CHITTEWAN

Digitally signed by
RAJESH VASANT
CHITTEWAN
Date: 2021.10.22
11:20:53 +0530

WRIT PETITION NO.709 OF 2018

WITH

INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO.17017 OF 2021

WITH

INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO.23269 OF 2021

WITH

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.77 OF 2018

Uday Narottam Soni

... Petitioner

Versus

Municipal Corporation for
Greater Mumbai

... Respondent

.....

Mr. Bhavesh Parmar a/w Mr. Rahul Gaikwad a/w Mr. Devmani Shukla, Nikita Abhyankar, Vivek Akshali i/b Gravitas Legal for the Petitioner.

Mr. A.Y. Sakhare, Senior Advocate a/w Ms. Oorja Dhond i/b Mr. S.K. Sonawane for the Respondent-MCGM.

Mr. Hemant Haryan, AGP for the State.

Mr. Girish Godbole a/w Sanjay Jain and Nishant Sasidharan a/w Ashok Jain and Shruti Rajput i/b A.V. Jain Associates for Applicant/Respondent No.8.

.....

**CORAM : A.A. SAYED AND
A.L. PANSARE, JJ.**

DATE : 21 OCTOBER 2021

P. C. :

. After arguing the matter for some time, in deference to the suggestion of the Court, the parties have arrived at a settlement with the assistance of their Counsel.

2 Learned Counsel for the Petitioner and learned Counsel for Respondent No.8-developer have now tendered consent minutes of order. The consent minutes of order has been signed by the Petitioner, Respondent No.8, as well as their respective Advocates. The consent minutes of order are taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. We record the presence of the Petitioner as well as Respondent No.8-developer in Court, who have been identified by their respective Counsel.

3 There shall be an order in terms of the consent minutes of order.

4 Needless to say that Respondent No.8 shall now be relieved from the undertaking, which had been given to the Court during the pendency of the Petition.

5 The new Advocate of the Petitioner is permitted to file his Vakalatnama within three working days. Requirement of no objection from the earlier Advocate shall stand dispensed with in view of the earlier order passed by this Court.

6 The Petition to stand disposed of accordingly. In view of the disposal of the Petition, the Interim Application as well as Notice of Motion do not survive and to stand disposed of.

(A.L. PANSARE, J.)

(A.A. SAYED, J.)