

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2422 OF 2019

Sagar Subhash Patil ...Applicant

Versus

The State Of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr. Rushikesh G. Patil, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. S. H. Yadav, APP for the Respondent – State.

Ms. T. A. Chavan, (P.S.I.) Shirol MIDC Police Station, Kolhapur,
Present.

CORAM : N. J. JAMADAR, J.
DATE : 18th DECEMBER, 2021.

PC.:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the State.

2. The learned APP, on instructions of Ms. Chavan, (Police Sub Inspector) the Investigating Officer, who was directed to remain present before the Court, informs the Court that, in view of the statements of the victim and the first informant recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the Investigating Officer does not press for custody of the applicant for further investigation.

3. I have perused the statements of the victim and first informant recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973. The victim has completely disowned the prosecution version. The first informant, on her part, has stated that the First Information Report was lodged on account of misunderstanding.

4. In view of the aforesaid statement and submissions of the learned APP, the ad-interim relief granted on 7th November, 2019, is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

5. In addition, in the event charge-sheet is lodged, the applicant shall regularly attend the proceedings before the jurisdictional Court.

6. The application stands allowed in the aforesaid terms.

(N. J. JAMADAR, J.)