

Bhogale

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1440 OF 2020

Pravin Bhanabhai Patel & ors. .. Petitioners
vs.

Executive Engineer, D-3 Division,
M.B.R. & R. Board, Mumbai & ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Santosh D. Mishra for the Petitioners.

Mrs. Manisha Jagtap for Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. Arun Panickar for Respondent No.3.

Mr. P.V. Nelson Rajan, AGP for the State/Respondent No.4.

CORAM : M.S.KARNIK, J.

DATE : FEBRUARY 15, 2021

P.C.:-

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The Petitioners as well as Respondent No.3 have tendered the consent terms and state that the matter has been settled between them on the terms mentioned in the consent terms. The consent terms have been filed by the Petitioners has been signed by the Petitioner No.1 on behalf of Petitioner Nos.1 to 5. The Petitioner No.1 is a constituted Power of Attorney on behalf of Petitioner Nos.2 to 5. The Power of Attorney is enclosed at page 32 of the Petition. The Petitioner No.1 is present in the

Court. The Respondent No.3 is present in the Court and identified by the Advocate appearing on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is stated by the Respondent No.3 that the same is signed on behalf of the Partnership Firm. The Statement is accepted. The consent terms are also signed for the purpose of identification by the Advocate for the Petitioners and the Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

3. I have gone through the consent terms. The same are taken on record and marked as Exhibit 'X' for identification.

4. The Writ Petition is disposed of in terms of the consent terms.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)