

ISM

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 394 OF 2017**

VIJAYKUMAR JAGANNATH NANAVAREAPPLICANT

V/s.

SUNIL KHANNA AND ORSRESPONDENTS

Mr. Vrindavan Patil i/b Samarth S. Karmarkar for the applicant

Mr. Raghvendra Mehrotra for respondent no. 1

Ms. Sharmila S. Kaushik APP for the State

CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE: DECEMBER 22, 2021.

P.C.:

1] Heard.

2] In view of observations made in para 13 of the impugned order thereby acquitting the respondent-accused, the claim put forth by the counsel for the applicant that Magistrate has committed an error and should have recorded a finding of conviction is not appeared to be convincing.

3] The view expressed by the Magistrate is a possible view. That being so, leave stands refused. Application stands disposed of.

[NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.]