

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
WRIT PETITION NO.7701 OF 2012

Aayurved Vyaspeeth Sharad Sankul ... Petitioner  
Vs.  
Maharashtra Council of Indian Medicine & another ... Respondents

WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.101 OF 2015  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO.7701 OF 2012

B.A.M.S. Graduate Association for India ... Applicant

In the matter between

Aayurved Vyaspeeth Sharad Sankul ... Petitioner  
Vs.  
Maharashtra Council of Indian Medicine & another ... Respondents

Mr. A. R. Gole for Petitioner.  
Mr. N. G. Helekar for Applicant.  
Mr. R. A. Rodrigues for Respondent No.1.  
Ms. Sushma S. Bhende, AGP for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : R. D. DHANUKA &  
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.**

**DATE : DECEMBER 06, 2021**

**P.C. :-**

On 15<sup>th</sup> November, 2021, this Court passed order directing learned counsel for respondent No.1 to take instructions whether any new guidelines are framed or as to whether guidelines in question continue to operate till date or not.

2. Mr. Gole, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Helekar, learned counsel for the applicant state that the guidelines in question are not implemented by respondent No.1 till date.

3. Mr. Rodrigues, learned counsel for respondent No.1 tenders a copy of the letter dated 22<sup>nd</sup> September 2021 issuing instructions to him

and he submits that as and when new council is constituted, appropriate decision regarding new guidelines may be taken. The said letter is taken on record. Learned counsel was not able to make statement before this Court as to when the new council would be constituted after holding fresh election and as to whether the new guidelines would be issued or not.

4. Rule.

5. Learned counsel for the respective respondents waive service.

6. If respondent No.1 proposes to implement old guidelines which are subject matter of the writ petition or to revise the old guidelines, seven days' clear notice shall be given to the petitioner's advocate. If such notice is received by the petitioner's advocate, he would be at liberty to apply for early hearing and also for seeking amendment to the Petition.

7. In view of this order, Civil Application No.101 of 2015 does not survive and the same is accordingly disposed of.

**(R. N. LADDHA, J.)**

**(R. D. DHANUKA, J.)**

*Minal Parab*