

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

PRACHI
PRANESH
NANDIWADEKAR

Digitally signed by
PRACHI PRANESH
NANDIWADEKAR
Date: 2021.12.22
17:58:38 +0530

WRIT PETITION NO.9320 OF 2021

Smt.Mira Anandrao Ahiwale

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Respondents

Ms.Rekha Musale for the petitioner.

Mr.N.K. Rajpurohit, AGP for the respondents-State.

**CORAM : R.D. DHANUKA AND
R.N.LADDHA, JJ.**

DATE : 21st December 2021

P.C.:-

. Matter is on board and mentioned at 4.45 p.m.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to delete the name of the respondent no.4 from the cause title of the petition.

3. Leave to amend is granted as prayed. Amendment to be carried out forthwith. Re-verification is dispensed with.

4. Rule. Mr.Rajpurohit, learned AGP waives service for the respondents-State. By consent of parties, petition is heard finally.

5. By this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks an order and direction against the respondent no.2 to decide the proposal of the petitioner annexed at Exhibit-H collectively within the time bound programme and to include the name of the petitioner in Salarth Pranali along with all consequential benefits.

6. The proposal of the petitioner is pending. We accordingly direct the respondent no.2 to decide the proposal of the petitioner annexed at Exhibit-H collectively within six weeks from today without fail in accordance with law. The order that would be passed by the respondent no.2 shall be communicated to the petitioner within one week from the date of passing of such order. If the order is in favour of the petitioner, the respondent no.3 shall include the name of the petitioner in Shalarth Pranali within two weeks from the date of passing such order and shall grant all consequential benefits to the petitioner within four weeks from the date of including the name of the petitioner in Shalarth Pranali. If the order is adverse against the petitioner, the petitioner would be at liberty to file appropriate proceedings.

7. Writ petition is allowed in aforesaid term. Rule is accordingly made absolute. This Court has not expressed any views on merit of the matter. No order as to costs. All contentions of the parties are kept open.

R.N.LADDHA, J

R.D. DHANUKA, J.