

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

BASAVRAJ
GURAPPA
PATIL

Digitally signed by
BASAVRAJ GURAPPA
PATIL

Date: 2021.10.22
10:53:16 +0530

WRIT PETITION NO.6905/2021

Nilesh Namdev Patil – Gurav Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

WRIT PETITION NO.6906/2021

Vikram Prabhakar Jadhav Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

WRIT PETITION NO.6907/2021

Rohit Sambhaji Kamat Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

WRIT PETITION NO.6908/2021

Sandip Pandit Patil Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

WRIT PETITION NO.6909/2021

Suresh Balaso Khot Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

WRIT PETITION NO.6910/2021

Seema Sujit Patil

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..... Respondents

WRIT PETITION NO.6911/2021

Suraj Krishnat Bidkar

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..... Respondents

WRIT PETITION NO.6912/2021

Chaitanya Maruti Patil

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..... Respondents

Mr. Chetan G. Patil for the Petitioners

Mrs. P.J.Gavhane, AGP with Ms. K.N.Solunke, AGP, Mrs. S. S. Bhende, AGP, Mr. N. C. Walimbe, AGP for the State

**CORAM: R.D.DHANUKA &
ABHAY AHUJA, JJ.**

DATED : OCTOBER 20, 2021

P.C.

1 Mr.Patil, the learned counsel for the Petitioners, on instructions, seeks liberty to delete Respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

2 Leave to amend is granted. Amendment shall be carried out forthwith. Re-verification is dispensed with.

3 Rule.

By consent of the parties, taken up for final disposal.

The learned AGP waives service for Respondent State.

4 By these petitions under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the Petitioners impugned the order dated 29.09.2021 passed by the Dy. Director of Education rejecting the application of the Petitioner to record the name of each of the Petitioners in the Shalarth Pranali and refused to issue Shalarth ID in favour of these Petitioners.

5 It is not in dispute that the Education Officer has already granted approval to the appointment of each of these Petitioners on the non teaching posts. In our view, the Dy. Director of Education, thus, could not have refused to enter the name of the Petitioners in the Shalarth Pranali and could not have refused to issue Shalarth ID in favour of these Petitioners. Similar view has been taken by this court in the past and also today in Writ Petition No.6897/2021 and bunch of petitions. In our view, same judgment would apply to the facts of theses cases.

6 We, accordingly, pass the following order:

a. The impugned order dated 29.09.2021 passed by Respondent No.2 - Dy. Director of Education is quashed and set aside.

b. The Dy. Director of Education is directed to enter

the name of each of the Petitioners in Shalarth Pranali and issue Shalarth ID in favour of the Petitioner within four weeks from today.

c. The Writ Petitions are allowed in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b) and stand disposed of.

d. Rule is made absolute accordingly.

e. Parties to act on an authenticated copy of this order.

(ABHAY AHUJA, J.)

(R.D.DHANUKA, J.)