

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1642 OF 2019

Prashant Ravindra Kharat	 Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	 Respondent

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 498 OF 2019**

Sweta Dsouza	 Intervenor.
--------------	------------------

In the matter between:

Prashant Ravindra Kharat	 Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	 Respondent

Mr. Kuldeep U. Nikam for Applicant.
Smt. A. A. Takalkar, APP for State/Respondent.
Ms. Aditi S. Naikare for Intervenor.

CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.
DATE : 18th MARCH, 2021

P.C. :

1. At the outset, learned APP makes a statement that the investigating agency has filed 'A' summary report before a competent court. The statement is recorded and accepted. In view

of this statement, learned counsel for the applicant does not press this application. However, he expresses his apprehension that, in future if the investigating agency decides to arrest the applicant, there should be some protection. On this submission, learned APP, on instructions of the investigating officer who is present in the court, makes a statement that, if in future, the investigating agency decides to arrest the present applicant in connection with the present offence, they shall give four clear working days' notice to the applicant to enable him to approach an appropriate court for appropriate relief. This statement is also accepted.

2. The application is disposed of in aforesaid terms.

3. In view of disposal of A.B.A.No.1642 of 2019, the Interim Application No.498 of 2019 does not survive and it is also disposed of accordingly.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)