

ISM

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2450 OF 2021**

VIKAS BHASKAR DONGRE

....APPLICANT

V/s.

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

.....RESPONDENT

Mr. Aniket Vagal advocate for the applicant
Mrs. Rutuja Ambekar APP for the State

**CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.
DATE: 12th OCTOBER, 2021.**

P.C.:

1] In 2018, applicant in a capacity of a director of a company who deals in vehicles, accepted consideration and failed to deliver the promise of delivery of vehicle. As such, C.R. No. 170/2019 registered with Goregaon Police Station for offence punishable under Sections 420 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

2] Submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant are, complainant has given in writing to the police that he has no grievance against the applicant. It is further claimed that part of the amount which was accepted in June 2018 is already returned and

that being so, custodial interrogation of the applicant is not warranted.

3] Learned APP opposed the prayer.

4] Based on record, it is apparent that since 2018, applicant in the capacity of a director of the firm having accepted consideration for delivery of the car has not honoured the promise. Neither the vehicle as was promised was delivered nor entire amount of consideration is refunded since last more than three years.

It is informed by learned APP that there are criminal antecedents against the applicant.

5] Criminal intentions of the applicant particularly having regard to ingredients of Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code are very much established. In my opinion, case for bail is not made out. Hence, application stands rejected.

[NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.]