

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 5908 OF 2021

Shreya Ramanuam & Anr.

... Petitioners

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

... Respondents

.....

Mr. Vineet Naik i/b. S. Anchan for the Petitioners.

Mr. Rui Rodrigues a/w. Ms. Nareena Kumai for the Respondent Nos.
1 to 3.

.....

**CORAM: PRASANNA B. VARALE &
MADHAV J. JAMDAR, JJ.**

DATE : OCTOBER 22, 2021.

PER COURT:-

1. Petition was circulated claiming urgency by way of praecipe dated 20th October, 2021. The detail order dated 12th October, 2021 of Division Bench of this Court directed the Respondent No.3 to declare the result of Petitioners in Joint Entrance Examination (Mains) and further directed the Respondent No.3 to permit the Petitioners to appear for counseling in general category as an interim measure.

2. It was submitted before this Court in the praecipe that though there was a clear order of this Court, a confusion was created and the Petitioners carried an apprehension that because of the confusion or communication gap, they will not be in a position to avail the benefit of the order passed by this Court and more particularly, the direction as an interim measure.

Sonali

3. When we were hearing the Petition, the learned counsel was fair enough to place before us a recent development in the form of communication forwarded to the Petitioners by way of an email dated 20th October, 2021 at 5.58 p.m. The communication by way of email reads thus:-

“In terms of the orders passed by the Hon’ble High Court, needful is being done. However, this would be subject to further orders which may be passed by the Hon’ble Courts in this matter.”

4. We have supplied emphasis as the same shows that apprehension carried by the Petitioner no more survives and the Respondents were justified in observing that the steps which would be taken in compliance of the order of this Court by way of interim measure be subject to the final outcome of the Writ Petition. In our opinion, no further order is required in the matter.

5. Authenticated copy to the learned counsel appearing for the respective parties.

(MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.)

(PRASANNA B. VARALE, J.)