

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 94 OF 2013
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 140 OF 2013
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 94 OF 2013
WITH
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 133 OF 2013
AND
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 386 OF 2013

Shri Dinesh Dattaram Jadhav **... Appellant/ Orig. Petitioner.**

VERSUS

Mrs. Diksha Dinesh Jadhav **... Respondent.**

Mr. Sandeep V. Bane, Advocate a/with Shubham S. Bane, Advocate for
the Appellant/Org. Petitioner.

Mr. Trupti V. Chavan, Advocate for the Respondent.

CORAM : A.A.SAYED & S.G.DIGE, JJ.

DATE : OCTOBER 07, 2021.

P.C. :

1 Learned Counsel for the Appellant-husband and Respondent-wife state that the matter has been settled between the parties. They have tendered the “Consent Terms” signed by the parties and their Advocates. The said “Consent Terms” are taken on record and marked “X” for identification.

SHALIKRAM
PRALHADRAO
BOREY
Digitally signed
by SHALIKRAM
PRALHADRAO
BOREY
Date:
2021.10.20
18:40:37
+0530

2 The Appellant-husband and Respondent-wife are present in Court and have been identified by their Counsel.

3 Learned Counsel state that the parties are residing separately since February, 2008 and there is no possibility of reconciliation between them.

4 We, therefore, dispose of both the Appeals in terms of the Consent Terms. The undertakings in the Consent Terms are accepted.

5 There shall be a decree of divorce by mutual consent under section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, dissolving the marriage solemnized on 9th May, 2007.

6 The Civil Application No. 140 of 2013 to stand disposed of.

7 By consent, Criminal Revision Application No. 386 of 2013 is taken on Board and disposed of in view of the Consent Terms.

(S.G.DIGE, J.)

(A.A. SAYED, J.)

.....