

Vidya Amin

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 2858 OF 2021
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 3489 OF 1998**

Udaykumar Madanlal Pandey	... Applicant
in the matter between	
Udaykumar Madanlal Pandey & Anr.	... Petitioners
V/s.	
The Collector of Jalgaon & Ors.	... Respondents

Ms. Veena B. Thadani for the applicant.
Mr. S.H. Kankal, AGP for the State.

**CORAM : G.S.KULKARNI, J.
DATE : 12 October, 2021**

P.C.:

1. Petitioner no. 2-Renuka Pandey has expired during the pendency of the Writ Petition. In the Interim Application, the applicant/petitioner has prayed that the legal heirs of deceased Renuka Pandey be brought on record.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the advocate for the respondents is already served, however, none appears for the respondents.

3. Considering the averments as made in the application, the delay has been sufficiently explained. In any event, there is no

reply affidavit opposing the delay. Interim Application is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b). Necessary amendment be carried out within a period of two weeks from today.

4. List the petition for Final Hearing on **16 November, 2021 at 4 p.m.**

5. Parties are put to notice that there shall be no further adjournment. This being a Writ Petition of the year 1998, if the private respondents are not represented on the adjourned date of hearing, the Court shall proceed to hear the petitioner and the learned AGP and pass appropriate orders on the petition.

(G.S.KULKARNI, J.)