

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1861 OF 2021

Suman Premchand Jaiswal ... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

.....

Mr. Vikas Singh a/w Mr. Ravi Dwivedi, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. R. M. Pethe, APP for the Respondent – State.

.....

CORAM : PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.

DATE : 25th AUGUST, 2021.

PER COURT:

1. The application was heard. Since the Court was not inclined to grant bail, learned counsel for the applicant on instructions seeks permission to withdraw this application. However, it is submitted that learned Additional Sessions Judge while rejecting the application for anticipatory bail had imposed cost of Rs.10,000/-. This direction may be set-aside. It is submitted that the applicant is lady and the application was preferred apprehending arrest, although it was the second application for anticipatory bail before the Sessions Court.

SAJAKALI
LIYAKAT
JAMADAR
Digitally signed
by SAJAKALI
LIYAKAT
JAMADAR
Date:
2021.08.26
10:54:39
+0530

2. In view of the submission, Application is allowed to be withdrawn and stands disposed of accordingly.

3. Considering the submissions of advocate for applicant the direction to pay cost of Rs.10,000/- passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Borivali Div. Dindoshi, Mumbai vide order dated 22nd July, 2021 is set-aside.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)