

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1553 OF 2021

Gurubhai Sureshbhai Thakkar ... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

.....

Mr. Viresh V. Purwant a/w Mr. Rushikesh Kale, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. Ali Kashif Khan Deshmukh, Advocate for the Complainant.

Ms. Veera Shinde, APP for the Respondent – State.

.....

CORAM : PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.

DATE : 30th JULY, 2021

PER COURT:

1. This is second application for anticipatory bail. The previous application was rejected by order dated 3rd May, 2021. The applicant is apprehending arrest in C.R. No. 94 of 2021 registered with Versova Police Station, Mumbai for offences under Sections 354, 354-B, 509, 323 & 341 r/w Section 34 of Indian Penal Code (for short “IPC”).

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the second application preferred on the ground that he has received pen drive of the video footage relating to place of incident which do not attribute any overt act in the alleged offence under Section

354 of IPC to applicant. It is submitted that learned APP be directed to view the video in the presence of the sister of the applicant and Investigating Officer.

3. Learned APP submitted that investigation is in progress. The previous application is rejected by assigning reasons. The court has considered the statements of witnesses.

4. Learned counsel for the intervenor submits that the previous application was rejected. The applicant is having criminal antecedents. He is also relying upon the video footage which shows the involvement of the applicant in the offence.

5. On perusal of the previous order it is apparent that by assigning reasons, the application has been rejected. On the basis of the contentions put forth by learned counsel for the applicant, no case for entertaining this application is made out. Hence, application is rejected and stands disposed of accordingly.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)