

BDP-SPS-TAC

BHARAT  
DASHARATH  
PANDIT

Digitally signed by  
BHARAT  
DASHARATH  
PANDIT  
Date: 2021.08.31  
11:26:30 +0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 68 OF 2021**

Mrs. Pushpita Sinha .....Applicant  
V/s  
Mr. Veenesh Priyadarshi .....Respondent

Mr. J.S. Kini i/b Sapna S. Krishnappa for the Applicant.  
None for the Respondent.

**CORAM: NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.**

**DATE: AUGUST 26, 2021**

**P.C.:-**

- 1] Heard Mr. Kini, learned Counsel for the Applicant.
- 2] Though served, none appears for non-applicant/husband. As such, contentions/pleadings raised in the application are not controverted.
- 3] Additional affidavit tendered by the Counsel for the Applicant is taken on record.
- 4] Counsel for the Applicant invited my attention to additional affidavit which is placed on record, copy of which I am informed has

already been served on the other side. Affidavit placed on record speaks of the son who is in custody of the Applicant, is a slow learner. Said contentions are also not controverted.

5] In the aforesaid backdrop, issue of hardship has to be answered in favour of the Applicant. That being so Application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause [a][i] and [ii] which read as under:-

[a] That this Hon'ble Court be pleased to exercise jurisdiction under section 24 of the C.P.C. and after calling for the papers and proceedings of

[i] Marriage Petition No.741 of 2017 filed in the Court of Hon'ble Civil Judge, Senior Division, Pune, at Pune and pending before it and/or Family Court, Pune as well as

[ii] Custody Petition bearing No.2023 of 2019 pending in the Court of Hon'ble Civil Judge Senior Division, Pune, at Pune and/or Family Court, Pune and transfer the same to the Family Court at Bandra, Mumbai.”

( NITIN W. SAMBRE, J. )