

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1204 OF 2021

Raoji Shivram RaneApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

Mr. M.M. Vashi, Senior Advocate i/b. M/s. M.P. Vashi & Associates for the Applicant.

Mr. H.J. Dedhia, APP for the Respondent-State.

Mr. Siddhesh Bhole, Advocate for Respondents No.2 & 3.

**CORAM :SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.
DATE : 19th MAY, 2021
[Vacation Court]
[Through Video Conferencing]**

P.C. :

1. The Applicant has directly approached this Court for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.. The Applicant has not approached the Sessions Court before filing this Application.

2. Since the Sessions Court has concurrent jurisdiction under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., propriety demands that the Applicant approaches the Sessions Court first. When I expressed my opinion to that effect, learned Counsel for the

Applicant prays for liberty to approach the Sessions Court. He seeks liberty to withdraw this application with such liberty.

3. Considering this request, permission is granted to withdraw this application with liberty to the Applicant to approach the Court of Sessions for the same relief.

4. The Application is disposed of accordingly.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

Deshmane (PS)