

Bhogale

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4534 OF 2017

Sujata Parshuram Tilekar

..Petitioner

VS.

Saint Tukaram Maharaj Shikshan Sanstha & ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 13519 OF 2018**

Saint Tukaram Maharaj Shikshan Sanstha & anr. ..Petitioners

VS.

Sujata Parshuram Tilekar & ors.

..Respondents

Mr. Dnyaneshwar Kale I/b. Mr. Shankar Katkar for the Petitioner.

Mr. Deepak More for Respondent No.3.

CORAM : M.S.KARNIK, J.

DATE : JANUARY 21, 2021

P.C.:-

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The consent terms have been filed duly signed by the Petitioner and Respondent No.1. From the consent terms it is clear that the said consent terms are entered into between the Petitioner and Respondent No.1 only. Shri More appearing for the Respondent No.3 submits that he is not a signatory to the consent terms and therefore the consent terms cannot bind the Respondent No.3.

3. It is clarified that the consent terms are only between the Petitioner and Respondent No.1 as they are signatories to the same and the same obviously does not bind the Respondent No.3.

4. Writ Petitions are disposed of in terms of the consent terms.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)