

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.3784 OF 2021

Krishna Sakharam @ Sitaram Patil and another Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others Respondents

....

Mr. Sandeep Mishra, Advocate i/b. Abdullah Katlariwala, for the
Petitioners.

Mr. J.P. Yagnik, APP for the Respondents-State.

....

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR AND
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : 20 OCTOBER 2021

P.C.

Heard the learned counsel for the Petitioners and the
learned APP for the State.

2. Perused the communication issued by the Tahsildar and
Agriculture Lands Tribunal, Thane dated 24 December 2020. The
Tahsildar has opined that either the Petitioners can approach the
higher authority under the Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands
Act or take recourse to criminal law on their own. The response of the

Tahsildar is correct. The Petitioners can either approach the higher authority under the Tenancy Act or set the criminal law in motion on their own if so advised.

3. Therefore, it is not necessary to interfere in the writ jurisdiction. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)

Deshmane (PS)