

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1716 OF 2021

Mohsin S/o Javed Khan
Age- 23 years, Occ- Prisoner,
Convict No. C-11841
At Present lodged in
Central Prison, Nashik Road, Nashik,
R/o. House No. 1308, behind
Trimbak Police Chowki, Juna Nashik,
Tq. & Dist. Nashik.

...PETITIONER

Versus

- 1] The State of Maharashtra
Through its Principal Secretary,
Home Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
- 2] The Divisional Commissioner
Nashik Division, Nashik,
Office at Commissioner office,
Nashik Road, Nashik.
- 3] The Deputy Inspector General
of Police (Prison), Central division,
Central Prison, Harsul,
Aurangabad.
- 4] The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison, Nashik Road,
Nashik.

...RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. M.M. Chaudhari for Petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Thakre, PP a/w. Mr. J.P. Yagnik, APP for State.

...

**CORAM : S. S. SHINDE &
MANISH PITALE, JJ.**

**RESERVED ON : 22nd APRIL, 2021.
PRONOUNCED ON: 27th APRIL, 2021.**

JUDGMENT [PER S.S. SHINDE, J.]:

. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith and heard with the consent of learned counsel appearing for the parties.

2. The Petitioner has filed the present petition for the following substantial reliefs:

B) To quash and set-aside the impugn communication/letter of understanding dated.19/09/2020 issued by the Respondent No. 4 thereby refusing the petitioner on emergency parole leave.

3. The Petitioner herein (Convict No. C-11841), is convicted for the offences under Section 302 read with 34 of IPC for life and fine of Rs. 25000/-, in Sessions Case No. 210 of 2016 on 03.07.2018 by the Sessions Court at Mumbai.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner submits that the petitioner has undergone more than 4 years imprisonment and he is lodged in the Nashik Road Central prison. It is submitted that the application of the petitioner to release him on emergency (Covid-19) parole was rejected on the ground that the Petitioner herein was never released on parole/furlough, till date. Therefore, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner submits that, merely because the Petitioner was never released earlier is no ground to

reject his application for emergency (Covid-19) parole.

5. Learned APP appearing for Respondent-State submits that the prayer of the petitioner to release him on emergency (Covid-19) parole has rightly been turned down, relying upon the notification dated 8th May 2020 issued by the Government of Maharashtra, Home Department. It is submitted that the requisite official capacity to accommodate the convicts in Nashik Central Prison is 3178 inmates. By end of March 2021, there were 2436 convicts (72- women convicts and 2364 men convicts). It is submitted that in the Nashik Central Prison 682 more convicts can be accommodated. In order to prevent spread of Covid-19 virus, inmates/convicts who have been recently lodged in the said prison are kept in isolation in separate hall and after necessary health checkup and tests, they are kept in the separate room in the prison. There is thermal scanning and rapid antigen tests are conducted on regular basis. In case, anybody is tested positive one separate isolation room No. 8 is maintained for their stay and treatment.

6. We have given careful consideration to the submissions of learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned APP appearing for the Respondent-State. With the able assistance of learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned APP, we

have perused the pleadings and grounds in the petition, annexures thereto, impugned order/letter of understanding and also report received from the Superintendent of Nashik Central Prison, Nashik. Upon careful perusal of the said report received from the prison authority, it clearly appears on record that the proper care is being taken of the convicts in the prison, so as to, avoid possibility of contracting Covid-19 virus. However, in the impugned order/letter of understanding a reason given is that, the Petitioner herein was never released on furlough/parole, in past.

7. In our opinion, merely because the petitioner was not released earlier cannot be a ground for rejecting the application of the petitioner for emergency parole. This Court in Criminal Writ Petition-ASDB-LD-VC No. 65 of 2020 (Milind Ashok Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) had occasion to consider similar issue and a view is taken in the said case that merely because the petitioner was not released twice in the past on parole/furlough cannot be a ground for rejecting the application for emergency parole.

8. In that view of the matter, the writ petition is partly allowed. The impugned order dated 19.09.2020 passed by

Respondent No. 4, is quashed and set aside. The petitioner is granted liberty to apply afresh for grant of emergency Covid-19 parole within one week from today. Upon filing such an application, the respondent authority shall decide the same on its own merits, as expeditiously as possible, however, within three weeks from the date of filing of the application in accordance with the Prisons (Bombay Furlough and Parole) Rules, 1959, and keeping in view the factors like the extent of spread of Covid-19 virus and conditions in jail.

9. Rule is partly made absolute to above extent. The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(MANISH PITALE, J.)

(S. S. SHINDE, J.)