

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

***CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 166 OF 2017
(For Cancellation of Bail)***

Shalini Shashank Dubey	...Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	...Respondent

Mr. Rajesh Arvind More for the Applicant

Mr. S. V. Gavand, A.P.P for the Respondent–State

***CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.
(THROUGH VIDEO-CONFERENCING)
WEDNESDAY, 23rd JUNE 2021***

P.C. :

1 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2 By this application, the applicant (original complainant) has impugned the order dated 17th March 2016 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Raigad, Alibag, granting anticipatory bail to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 in connection with C.R. No. 346/2016 registered with the Kharghar Police Station for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 498A, 323, 504, 506 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3 The applicant is the daughter-in-law of the respondent Nos. 2 and 3. The allegations as against the respondents who are the in-laws of the applicant is that they ill-treated her.

4 Perused the impugned order. The learned Judge has, in detail, after considering the allegations, observed that as far as Section 406 of the Indian Penal Code and the role of the respondent Nos. 2 and 3 (in-laws) is concerned, they have no role to play. The impugned order granting pre-arrest bail can neither be said to be perverse nor unsustainable. Even otherwise, after investigation, charge-sheet has been filed against the said respondents before the learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Panvel in 2019.

5 Considering the aforesaid, there is no merit in the application. Application is dismissed.

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.